COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 0145-06

Bill No.: SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1

Subject: Business and Commerce; Taxation and Revenue - General

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 19, 2011

Bill Summary: Would change the Big Government Get Off My Back Act, and would

provide an income tax deduction for certain small businesses that create

new full-time jobs.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
General Revenue	(More than \$224,416)	(More than \$301,062)	(More than \$303,111)
Total Estimated Net Effect on			
General Revenue Fund	(More than \$224,416)	(More than \$301,062)	(More than \$303,111)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 12 pages.

L.R. No. 0145-06

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1

Page 2 of 12 April 19, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
General Revenue	5	5	5
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	5	5	5

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 3 of 12 April 19, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Office of the Governor** assumed there would be no added cost to their organization as a result of this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State** (SOS) stated that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the Governor.

Officials from the **Office of the Attorney General** assume that any costs arising from this proposal could be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the Office of the State Auditor, the Office of the State Treasurer, the Missouri Senate, the Office of Administration, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Conservation, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Public Safety, Missouri Veterans Commission and Capitol Police, the Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan, the Administrative Hearing Commission, the Missouri Ethics Commission, the Missouri Gaming Commission, the Missouri Lottery, the State Tax Commission, and the Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement, assume there would be no fiscal impact to their organizations from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** stated that they would not anticipate a significant direct fiscal impact from this proposal.

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 4 of 12 April 19, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** (JCAR) assume this proposal would not cause a fiscal impact to their organization beyond its current appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of Administration**, **Division of Budget and Planning** (BAP) assume this proposal would not result in additional costs or savings to their organization.

The proposal would extend the provisions of the BGGOMBA for an additional year. While this would not reduce general and total state revenue, it could slow growth in user fees, and could lead to budget shortfalls for certain programs. BAP defers to the administering agencies for related impacts.

The proposal would also create a tax deduction, for the years 2011-2014, for any small business, as defined in Section 1.310, that creates a qualifying job. However, no explicit definition of small business was given in this section. The deduction ranges from \$10,000 to \$20,000, depending on the wage level of the job and the inclusion of employer-funded health insurance. At a 6% marginal rate, the proposed deduction would lead to a tax reduction of \$600 - \$1200 per new qualifying job.

BAP also noted the following:

- * According to the United States Census Bureau (USCB), 94% of Missouri firms would meet this definition, and those firms account for 60% of total employment.
- * Moody's Analytics, in its' most recent forecast for Missouri, projects average growth in employment of 53,000 per year for the years 2011-2014.
- * The USCB estimates 56% of citizens are covered by employer-provided insurance.
- * Therefore, using these three estimates and the cost estimates above, BAP estimates this may reduce general and total state revenues by \$10.7 million to \$21.4 million each year. While the new jobs may encourage economic growth, BAP cannot estimate the induced revenues from that potential economic growth.

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 5 of 12 April 19, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight notes that only 167 additional employees, at the lowest proposed tax deduction amount, would create a General Revenue Fund reduction of \$100,000. Oversight also notes that the cost estimate provided by the Office of Administration, Division of Budget and Planning (BAP) was based on an economic forecast of expected employment growth; neither BAP nor Oversight is able to determine a number of additional jobs, if any, which could be attributed to this proposal. Employers with additional qualifying employees would be able to claim the deduction; therefore, Oversight will indicate a fiscal impact greater than (\$100,000) per year for the General Revenue Fund for fiscal note purposes.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** (DOHSS) stated in response to a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05) that if the General Assembly does not authorize a federal program, it would likely result in the loss of associated federal funding. The loss of federal funding could have a significant impact on their organization.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services**, **Office of the Director**, **Division of Youth Services**, and **Missouri HealthNet Division** assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services, Division of Children's Services, Family Support Division**, and **Division of Legal Services** assumed a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05) would have an unknown impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** (DED) assume this proposal would have no fiscal impact on their organization.

DED officials also provided an estimate of the additional revenue which could be generated by new jobs created. DED officials stated they compared the 2010 Revenue Estimate after refunds from the OA Budget Summary, and recent payroll employment figures for the state, to calculate an estimated amount of state government revenue generated per job of \$2,630.

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 6 of 12 April 19, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight assumes there would be some positive economic benefit to the state from new jobs created as a result of implementing this program, but Oversight considers those benefits to be indirect, and will not reflect that potential revenue in this fiscal note.

Officials from the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Missouri House of Representatives, the Department of Corrections, the Office of Prosecution Services, the Department of Higher Education, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Public Safety, Division of Alcohol and Tobacco Control, Division of Fire Safety, State Emergency Management Agency, the Missouri Highway Patrol, the Office of State Public Defender, and the Missouri State Employees Retirement System, and, assume there would be no fiscal impact to their organizations as a result of a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05).

Officials from and the **Missouri Public Service Commission**, assumed a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05) would have no fiscal impact on their organizations.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** noted that a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05) would reduce state revenues and decrease the amount of money available for public schools and public school students.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety, Office of the Director**, assumed that any costs associated with a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05) could be assumed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions**, and **Professional Registration** (DIFP) assumed a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05) could have an unknown impact on their organization due to potential changes in federal mandates related to their organization.

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 7 of 12 April 19, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** (MoDOT) assumed a previous version of this proposal (SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 LR 0145-05) could have a fiscal impact on MoDOT. The proposal stated that any federal mandate implemented by the state must be statutorily authorized by the General Assembly. Whether this could result in MoDOT losing any of its federal funds is unknown.

Second, the proposal would extend the Act's duration. The statute currently states that the Act will be effective for 4 years, but the bill would increase its duration to 5 years. Thus, no user fees imposed by the state, including those imposed by administrative rule, could increase for 5 years (ending on August 28, 2014), unless the fee increase is to implement a federal program administered by the state or is otherwise approved by the General Assembly, with certain exceptions. This proposal would prohibit MoDOT from increasing user fees to help offset the cost of administering programs.

With respect to the DOHSS, DOSS, DIFP, and MoDOT responses, **Oversight** assumes that any fiscal impact resulting from the proposed requirement for the General Assembly to authorize a federal program would be contingent on some future action of the General Assembly, and will not include such impact in this fiscal note.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** (DOR) assume this proposal would create an income tax deduction for small businesses that create new full-time jobs.

Beginning January 1, 2011 and ending December 31, 2014, taxpayers would be allowed a deduction for each new full-time job created by the small business in the taxable year. The deduction would be:

- * \$10,000 for each new, full-time job created with an annual salary at least equal to the average annual county wage;
- * \$20,000 for each new, full-time job created with an annual salary at least equal to the average annual county wage if the business offers health insurance and pays fifty percent (50%) of the premiums;

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 8 of 12 April 19, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

DOR would create rules to implement the provisions and would create procedural requirements to claim the deduction. DOR would also need to create forms and instructions, and DOR and OA-ITSD (DOR) would need to make programming changes to the Corporation Income Tax System (COINS), the Corporate and Franchise Tax System (CAFÉ), the Corporate Electronic Filing Specifications (MeFile), the Data Warehouse (EDW), the Individual Income Tax Processing System, and the Case Audit Management System (CAMS).

The provisions would sunset December 31 three years after the effective date, unless re-authorized by the General Assembly.

DOR officcials provided an estimate of the IT cost to implement this proposal of \$53,424 based on 2,016 hours of programming time for OA-ITSD(DOR) to make changes to the individual income tax processing system, (MINITS) and the corporate income tax processing system (COINS).

Oversight assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of activity each year. Oversight assumes OA-ITSD (DOR) could absorb the costs related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require additional staffing and duties at substantial costs, OA-ITSD (DOR) could request funding through the appropriation process.

DOR officials assumed:

- * Personal Tax would require two additional Temporary Tax Employees for key entry, one additional FTE Revenue Processing Technician I (Range 10, Step L) per 19,000 additional errors, and one additional FTE Revenue Processing Technician I (Range 10, Step L) per 2,400 pieces of correspondence.
- * Collections and Tax Assistance would require one FTE additional Tax Collection Technician I (Range 10, Step L) for every additional 24,000 contacts annually to the non-delinquent tax line and one additional FTE Revenue Processing Technician I (Range 10, Step L) for every additional 4,800 contacts annually to the field offices.

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 9 of 12 April 19, 2011

ASSUMPTION (continued)

* Corporate Tax would require one additional FTE Revenue Processing Technician I (Range 10, Step L) for every 2,600 additional pieces of correspondence

DOR officials provided an estimate of the total administrative cost to implement this proposal, including five additional employees and related benefits and expense and equipment of \$215,761 for FY 2012, \$217,724 for FY 2013, and \$220,000 for FY 2014.

Oversight has, for fiscal note purposes only, changed the starting salary for the additional positions to correspond to the second step above minimum for comparable positions in the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries for new state employees for a six month period and the policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research. Oversight has also adjusted the DOR expense and equipment estimate in accordance with OA budget guidelines and finally, Oversight assumes that a small number of additional employees could be accommodated in existing office space.

Oversight assumes this proposal would have an impact for DOR beginning with the processing of 2011 tax returns in January, 2012, and has included salary costs for six months of FY 2012.

Oversight also assumes the DOR estimate of expense and equipment cost for additional FTE could be overstated. If DOR is able to use existing equipment such as desks, file cabinets, chairs, etc., the estimate for equipment for fiscal year 2012 could be reduced by roughly \$5,000 per employee.

Amendment 1

This amendment would change the proposed new employee work requirement from thirty-five hours per week for twenty-six weeks with a weather-related exception to thirty-five hours per week for a fifty-two week period.

Oversight did not receive any responses which addressed this technical change in the proposal, and Oversight assumes that this amendment could potentially reduce the number of employers which would have qualifying new employees. Oversight assumes the fiscal impact of this proposal, as amended, would still be more than \$100,000 per year.

L.R. No. 0145-06

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1

Page 10 of 12 April 19, 2011

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
CENTED AT DEVENIUE EVIND	(10 Mo.)		
GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
Revenue reduction			
Additional deductions	(More than	(More than	(More than
1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	\$100,000)	\$100,000)	\$100,000)
	<u> </u>	+ /	+ /
Cost - Department of Revenue			
Additional deductions			
Salaries (5 FTE)	(\$56,700)	(\$114,534)	(\$115,679)
Temporary employees	(\$7,800)	(\$15,756)	(\$15,914)
Benefits	(\$33,759)	(\$68,194)	(\$68,876)
Equipment and expense	(\$26,157)	(\$2,578)	(\$2,642)
Total	<u>(\$124,416)</u>	(\$201,062)	(\$203,111)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	(More than	(More than	(More than
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$224,416)</u>	\$301,062)	\$303,111)
Estimated Net ETE Effect on Commit			
Estimated Net FTE Effect on General Revenue Fund	5	5	5
Revenue rund	3	3	3
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
	(10 Mo.)		
	(10 Mo.) <u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

This proposal could have a direct fiscal impact to small businesses which would qualify for the additional income tax deductions created by this proposal.

L.R. No. 0145-06 Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1 Page 11 of 12 April 19, 2011

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal would amend and extend the Big Government Get Off My Back Act, and would provide an income tax deduction for certain small businesses that create new full-time jobs.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of State Courts Administrator

Office of the Governor

Office of the Secretary of State

Office of the State Auditor

Office of the Attorney General

Office of the State Treasurer

Missouri Senate

Office of Administration

Administrative Hearing Commission

Division of Budget and Planning

Department of Agriculture

Department of Corrections

Department of Conservation

Department of Economic Development

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Department of Health and Senior Services

Department of Higher Education

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions, and Professional Registration

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Department of Mental Health

Department of Natural Resources

L.R. No. 0145-06

Bill No. SS for SCS for HCS for HB 45 w/SA1

Page 12 of 12 April 19, 2011

SOURCES (continued)

Department of Public Safety

Office of the Director

Division of Alcohol and Tobacco

Capitol Police

Division of Fire Safety

Missouri Gaming Commission

Missouri Veterans Commission

Missouri Highway Patrol

Department of Revenue

Department of Social Services

Office of the Director

Division of Children's Services

Family Support Division

Missouri HealthNet Division

Division of Legal Services

Division of Youth Services

Department of Transportation

Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

Joint Committee on Public Employee Retirement

Missouri Ethics Commission

Office of State Public Defender

Missouri Lottery

Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan

Missouri State Employees Retirement System

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

April 19, 2011